VAWA Confidentiality

Abusers frequently attempt to exert power and control over their victims by trying to use the immigration system to track and stalk them, to trigger immigration enforcement actions against the victim and to interfere with and attempt to undermine the victims’ ability to attain legal immigration status. In response, Congress created federal VAWA confidentiality laws in order to protect battered victims from having information about their VAWA, T visa, and U visa applications for relief disclosed to their abuser or anyone who could provide the information to the abuser. Violations of VAWA confidentiality may place immigrants in danger, by notifying abusers, rapists, traffickers or other crime perpetrators that victims are taking steps to free themselves from abusive homes, workplaces, or other situations. It is well established that when victims of domestic violence, trafficking and workplace sexual violence try to leave their perpetrators the likelihood that the perpetrator will retaliate using violence, threats or immigration related abuse is high. Federal immigration VAWA confidentiality protects against disclosure of the existence of a case filed by a crime victim and disclosure of information contained in the contents of the case to anyone inside or outside of the federal government except under limited circumstances. Federal immigration VAWA confidentiality protects against disclosure of the existence of a case filed by a crime victim and disclosure of information
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contained in the contents of the case to anyone inside or outside of the federal government except under limited circumstances. This Bench Card provides an overview of federal immigration VAWA confidentiality laws for state family, civil and criminal court judges.

**Three Prongs of VAWA Confidentiality**

There are three forms of VAWA confidentiality prohibitions in U.S. immigration law. These prohibitions protect against disclosure or use of confidential information, prohibit federal government officials from seeking or relying on information provided by perpetrators or their family member and place restrictions on the locations at which federal immigration enforcement actions can occur. These three prohibitions allow immigrant victims to safely access legal and social service protections.

1. Protecting Immigration Files
   - Prohibits the Departments of Justice, Homeland Security, or State from disclosing of any information, including the existence of the case, filed by individuals and their children that are eligible for a:
     - VAWA Self-Petition
     - Battered spouse waiver
     - VAWA Cuban adjustment applicants
     - VAWA HRIFA
     - VAWA NACARA
     - VAWA suspension of deportation
     - VAWA cancellation of removal
     - U visa crime victim
     - T visa trafficking victim
   - Exceptions:
     i. Disclosure to law enforcement or national security officials for solely for legitimate law enforcement or national security purposes:
        1. VAWA 2013 Amendment: disclosure must be done in a manner that “protects the confidentiality of such information.”
     ii. Statistical data collection.
     iii. Benefit granting or public benefits purposes.
     iv. Judicial review of the victim’s immigration case
     v. The chairman and ranking member of the judiciary committees if the House and Senate for the exercise of Congressional oversight functions

---

7 *Id.*

8 For a description of each of these forms of immigration relief see, National Immigrant Women’s Advocacy Project (NIWAP), Center for Public Policy Studies and Legal Momentum, *Glossary of Key Immigration Terms Relevant to State Court Judges* (September 11, 2013).


can review only closed casas and in a manner that omits personal identifying information and protects victim confidentiality

vi. Limitation ends when application for relief is denied based on substantive grounds and all opportunities for appeals have been exhausted.

2. Relying on Information  

• The government cannot seek information from or use information provided solely by a perpetrator or his or her family members to make adverse determinations regarding admissibility/deportability. VAWA confidentiality provisions 8 U.S.C. 1631(a)(1) specifies that the government cannot seek or rely upon information provided by --

"(A) a spouse or parent who has battered the alien or subjected the alien to extreme cruelty,

(B) a member of the spouse’s or parent’s family residing in the same household as the alien who has battered the alien or subjected the alien to extreme cruelty when the spouse or parent consented to or acquiesced in such battery or cruelty;

(C) a spouse or parent who has battered the alien’s child or subjected the alien’s child to extreme cruelty (without the active participation of the alien in the battery or extreme cruelty),

(D) a member of the spouse’s or parent’s family residing in the same household as the alien who has battered the alien’s child or subjected the alien’s child to extreme cruelty when the spouse or parent consented to or acquiesced in such battery or cruelty and the alien did not actively participate in such battery or cruelty,


• The victim does not have to have filed the qualifying application to be eligible.
• Need only prove that the individual is a protected immigrant.

---

3. Location Prohibitions 12

- Prohibits enforcement actions at any of the following locations: domestic violence shelter; victim services program; family justice center; supervised visitation center; or courthouse if the victim is appearing in connection with a protection order case, a child custody case or other civil or criminal case related to domestic violence, sexual assault, trafficking, or stalking. If any part of an enforcement action took place at any of these locations, DHS must disclose this fact in the Notice to Appear and in immigration court can dismiss the proceedings

- DHS also prohibits enforcement actions “near sensitive community locations such as, schools, places or worship and funerals or other religious ceremonies.”13

Consequences of VAWA Confidentiality Violations

Government officials who violate any of VAWA confidentiality’s prohibitions by releasing information, relying on prohibited information or making immigration enforcement actions at prohibited locations is subject to the following penalties.

“Anyone who willfully uses, publishes, or permits information to be disclosed in violation of this section or who knowingly makes a false certification [to an immigration judge] under section 239(e) of the Immigration and Nationality Act [8 U.S.C. 1229(e)] shall be subject to appropriate disciplinary action and subject to a civil money penalty of not more than $5,000 for each such violation.”

The procedures for filing a complaint with DHS for VAWA confidentiality violations, including immigration enforcement actions taken or attempted at court houses id described in Procedures for filing complaints about VAWA confidentiality violations with the Office of Civil Rights and Civil Liberties at the Department of Homeland Security "Violence Against Women Act (VAWA) Confidentiality Provisions at the Department of Homeland Security (2008)

VAWA Confidentiality and State Court Discovery Issues

Information contained in or regarding the existence of a VAWA, T or U visa application is “absolutely privileged information” that cannot be demanded for use in a criminal or civil proceeding.14 In order to ensure the safety of the victim from attempts by the abuser to use family/civil and criminal courts to obtain information from DHS about his or her whereabouts or regarding a VAWA, T or U visa, it is important to understand how confidentiality issues will present themselves in the criminal or family/civil court context. Judges should be aware of attempts by an abuser to obtain protection information for the purposes of maintaining power and control over a victim.

12 INA Section 239(e); * U.S.C. 1229(e)
An abuser will commonly demand information regarding the victim’s VAWA, T or U application in a family/civil court proceeding15 by claiming that the information is not protected because it falls within the limited exceptions to the confidentiality provision. These exceptions allow for the disclosure of confidential information for “legitimate law enforcement purpose[s],” census information, congressional oversight, national security purposes, or to assist with an immigrant victim’s eligibility for certain public benefits.16 These narrow exceptions illustrate Congress’ intent to prevent the disclosure of the victim’s protected information to the abuser in the course of ordinary civil or family court proceedings. If such information was requested from DHS by the abuser, DHS would deny such a request and not disclose the information because doing so would constitute a violation of VAWA confidentiality requirements. Such a violation would subject any government official that discloses protected information to sanctions, including disciplinary action and a penalty of up to $5,000.17

If a party in a civil or family court case seeks through discovery or cross examination seeks to obtain information about or information contained in a VAWA confidentiality protected case the court should deny such requests. The court can do this by granting18

- A Motion in Limine to Strike the Defendant’s Pleadings, Motions, and Advocacy for Pleadings and Motions for Violations of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 1119
- A Motion for Protective Order to Prevent Disclosure of VAWA Confidentiality Protected Information
- A Motion to Quash

---

15 In a criminal case the U visa certification will generally be discoverable. However, in state court proceedings the contents of the federal immigration case that the police and prosecutors have never had access to and are not part of their criminal case against the defendant may be protected by VAWA confidentiality. The DHS U visa rule states that “DHS may have an obligation to provide portions of petitions for U nonimmigrant status to federal prosecutors for disclosure to defendants in pending criminal proceedings. This obligation stems from constitutional requirements that pertain to the government’s duty to disclose information, including exculpatory evidence or impeachment material, to defendants. See U.S. Const. amend. V & VI; Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83, 87 (1963); Giglio v. United States, 405 U.S. 150, 154 (1972).” 72 Fed. Reg. 53027 (September 17, 2007). For further information go to: http://niwaplibrary.wcl.american.edu/reference/additional-materials/iwp-training-powerpoints/november-12-15-2012-atlanta-ga/family-law-track/custody/vawa-confidentiality/69367710-_-1-_AmiciBrief-FiledCopy-1%20DI-10-10-12.pdf/view


17 8 U.S.C. § 1367(c) (2013) (in addition to disciplinary action, the section imposes a penalty of up to $5,000 for each violation for anyone who “willfully uses, publishes, or permits information to be disclosed in violation of [these provisions].”
